

UW PACC Psychiatry and Addictions Case Conference UW Medicine | Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences

TREATING SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS IN PRIMARY CARE: TIPS FOR A PROACTIVE TRANSFORMATION

MARK DUNCAN, MD MATT ILES-SHIH, MD



Integrated Care Training Program



STEERING TOWARD SUCCESS: ACHIEVING VALUE IN WHOLE PERSON CARE

Treating Substance Use Disorders in Primary Care: Tips for a Proactive Transformation



UW Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences

Mark Duncan, MD Matt Iles-Shih, MD





GENERAL DISCLOSURES

The University of Washington School of Medicine also gratefully acknowledges receipt of educational grant support for this activity from the Washington State Legislature through the Safety-Net Hospital Assessment, working to expand access to psychiatric services throughout Washington State.



DISCLOSURES

• None



LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Describe best practices for substance use treatment in a primary care setting.
- Identify challenges and opportunities for offering substance use treatment in a primary care setting
- Give best practice examples from WA State
- List helpful resources and trainings for providers as they set up a MAT program



SUBSTANCE USE REMAINS A PROBLEM

- WA State Opioid Related Deaths
 - Unchanged since $2008 \rightarrow 718$ in 2015
 - Heroin related deaths on the rise
 - 73 in 2008 vs 313 in 2015
 - Fentanyl related deaths on rise
 - 28 in 2015 vs 70 in 2016 (84% accidental)
- US Alcohol Use Increase 2002 vs 2013
 - 12 month alcohol use \rightarrow 65% vs 73%
 - High risk drinking \rightarrow 10% vs 13%
 - Alcohol Use Disorders \rightarrow 9% vs 13%

WA State DOH, Opioid Overdose Death Report, 2016 WA State DOH, Fentanyl OD Death Report, 2017 Grant et al, 2017





http://bobsjobshandyman.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/All-Hands-on-Deck.jpg



INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE: BENEFITS

On balance, research suggests that the integration of addictions treatment can:

- Improve identification of SUDs & enhance access to treatment
- Improve physical & mental health
- Reduce levels of substance use
- Reduce costs

Enhance access to treatment

Alford et at (2011); Babor et al (2007); Cherpitel & Ye (2008); Friedmann et al (2006); Gourevitch et al (2007); Gryczynski et al (2011); Kim et al (2012); Kim et al (2011); LaBelle et al (2016); Lee et al (2015); Madras et al (2009); Oslin et al (2014); Parthasarathy et al (2003); Weisner et al (2001)





TREATMENT OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS IS EXPANDING-GREAT!





BUT, IS IT AS EFFECTIVE AS IT COULD BE?







EVIDENCE-BASED MODELS OF INTEGRATED ADDICTION TREATMENT AND WHAT TO TAKE FROM THEM

EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE

SBIRT



Screening:

Validated Instruments, risk-stratification

Brief Interventions:

 Usually Motivational Enhancement & Brief Behavioral therapies

Referral to Treatment:

Referral to specialty care if specific threshold criteria are met
UW PACC
COULT OF WASHINGTON

EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE SBIRT

The Good News: Screening & Brief Intervention can:

- ↓ EtOH-use (esp. male, at-risk drinkers;)
- \downarrow Tobacco (esp with "5-As" *plus* MAT)

Less Encouraging:

- +/- for other/illicit SUDs (at least in the US)
- RT is usually *in*effective

(Angus et al 2014; Glass et al 2015 & 2015; Kim et al 2017; Quinn et al, 2008; Saitz et al 2014; Roy-Byrne et al 2014)



EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE: VA'S "ALCOHOL CARE MANAGEMENT"

PC-based vs. Specialty Treatment for Alcohol Use Disorders

VA patients with Alcohol Use Disorders, 6 months

ACM BHPs

- psychologists and MH RN's
- Also treat depression and anxiety
- Promote use of Naltrexone
- Weekly group supervision

Main Outcome:

Treatment Engagement

		Treatment Arm						
		ACM (n=85)	Specialty Clinic (n=78)					
istics	Psycho-therapy	MET with BHP (phone or in- person)	12-step facilitation-based IOP					
ention Character	Contact Intensity	30 minutes/week (plus PCP appnts)	2-4 half-days X 6 wks; then 1-2 grps/wk (plus individual provider appnts)					
Interv	МАТ	Naltrexone offered	MAT, per clinic protocols/Drs' preference					



Oslin et al. (2013)

EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE:

THE VA'S "ALCOHOL CARE MANAGEMENT"



©2018 University of Washington

EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE: COLLABORATIVE CARE (CC) FOR OPIOID & ALCOHOL USE DISORDERS

THE SUMMIT TRIAL

Self-reported 30 day abstinence

<u>Collaborative Care for AUD/OUD vs. Usual Care (facilitated self-referral)</u>

CA FQHC patients with A/OUDs, 49%	Treatme	ent Arm		
Elements			Collab. Care	Usual Care
			(n=187)	(n=190)
Therapists	S	Intervention	Enrolled, proactively	Pt given info for in-clinic &
Clinicians (12/28 waivered)	teristi		CC team	external specialty SUDs tx
Weekly Caseload Reviews Registry	ion Charac	Contact Intensity	Goal: 6-sesson psychotherapy +/- MAT	Variable
Measurement based care	ervent	Psycho- therapy	MET/CBT	Variable
Main Outcomes: Any Evidence-based Treatment	Int	МАТ	XR-NXT (AUD), bup-nalox. (OUD)	Variable, per clinic or provider



Watkins et al. (2017)

EBMS FOR INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE: COLLABORATIVE CARE (CC) FOR OPIOID & ALCOHOL USE DISORDERS THE SUMMIT TRIAL



Conclusions:



Integrating Addictions & Primary Care: Collaborative Care for Opioid Use DOs The "Massachusetts Model" of Collaborative Care for OBB



<u>Goal</u>: **INCREASE ACCESS** to OST by providing clinical support in an clinically & cost-effective manner.



Integrating Addictions & Primary Care: Collaborative Care for Opioid Use DOs

The "Massachusetts Model" of Collaborative Care for OBB

12-month Outcomes

- <mark>Success → 51%</mark>
 - Treatment retention
 - NO illicit drug use x 6 months
- Transfer to Methadone Program:

▶6%

Loss to f/u, admin discharge:

≻42%

- Illicit Drug Use (q3 mo. monitoring)
 - >95% neg. tox screens (for those remaining in treatment)

Indicators of Success

- Older age
- Employment
- Illicit
 Buprenorphine
 use prior to
 treatment



INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE:



Integrating Addictions & Primary Care: Vermont's "Hub & Spoke": Outcomes

Changes at the "Spokes":

- \uparrow 64% MDs/DOs w/buprenorphine waivers
- 个 38% in Medicaid OUD pts on OST
- \uparrow services provided at Spokes w/additional RN & CM
- − ↑ satisfaction among PCPs & improved health outcomes
- Practice improvement w/engagement in "Learning Collaboratives"

Changes for "Hubs":

- Rapid growth to full capacity
- \uparrow 30% clients in Hubs on buprenorphine

System-wide Changes:

- Shift to 50:50 OTP vs. OBOT (from 85:15)
- Same-day access w/o waitlists in some regions
- − Pts able to migrate HUB \leftrightarrow SPOKE (based on status/need)
- Estimated \$6.7 million in Medicaid savings



Integrating Addictions & Primary Care: Vermont's "Hub & Spoke": Outcomes

Changes at the "Spokes":

- \uparrow 64% MDs/DOs w/buprenorphine waivers
- 个 38% in Medicaid OUD pts on OST
- \uparrow services provided at Spokes w/additional RN & CM
- − ↑ satisfaction among PCPs & improved health outcomes
- Practice improvement w/engagement in "Learning Collaboratives"

Changes for "Hubs":

- Rapid growth to full capacity
- \uparrow 30% clients in Hubs on buprenorphine

System-wide Changes:

- Shift to 50:50 OTP vs. OBOT (from 85:15)
- Same-day access w/o waitlists in some regions
- − Pts able to migrate HUB \leftrightarrow SPOKE (based on status/need)
- Estimated \$6.7 million in Medicaid savings



INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE:

VERMONT'S "HUB & SPOKE" MODEL

- Learning Collaborative
 - Goal: increase access & improve quality
 - Quality Measures (% of patients)
 - documented dx of OUD
 - unstable patients
 - > 16mg of bup
 - Urine drug screens
 - PMP has been accessed
 - In care x 6 months
 - Documentation of comanagement of other SUDs, psych, and primary care issues
 - Number of pts prescribed Buprenorphine





INTEGRATING ADDICTIONS & PRIMARY CARE: Vermont's "Hub & spoke" model

• Learning Collaborative

- Goal: increase access & improve quality

Results: Practice variation reduced on all points

Significant Changes

- \uparrow # of patients prescribed buprenorphine
- \uparrow urine drug screens done across the sites
- 个 PMP accessed
- ↑ in Unstable patients seen

No significant change in 6 month retention (already >95%) or specialty co-management

SUD TREATMENT KEYS

Retention, Retention, Retention

- Use Evidence Based Treatment
 - MAT
 - Psychosocial Treatment
- Team based-provider support
- Population based



SO WHAT HAVE THESE MODELS TAUGHT US?

1. HOW EFFECTIVE IS INTEGRATED ADDICTIONS CARE?

				Process N	/ leasures		Outcome Measures			
Model	Key Studies / Data Sources	Primary SUD(s) Treated	Enroll- ment in Treatment	Retention in Treatment	MAT Received	BT Received	Mortality	Abstinence	Use- reduction	Improved Function / Symptoms
Chronic Care Mangmnt	ACM (Oslin et al, 2013)	AUD	91%	85% (6mo)	66%	+	?	?	27%	+
	SUMMIT (Watkins et al, 2017)	A/OUD	93%	20% (6mo)	13%*	36%	?	33%	None	None
"Collaborative Care"	"Mass. Model" (Alford et al, 2011; LaBelle et al, 2016)	OUD	?	51% (12mo)	100%	?	?	51%	?	?
Vermont's Hub & Spoke	(VT Dept Health)	OUD	?	95% (6mo)	71%	+++	?	?	?	?

• Observations & Questions:

– What are the major levers we can pull to improve retention & outcomes?



SO WHAT HAVE THESE MODELS TAUGHT US?

2. ARE THEIR COMMON ATTRIBUTES WORTH NOTING?

			Common Attributes										
Model	Key Studies / Data Sources	Primary SUD(s) Treated	Patient- centered	Team-based Care	Provides↑ support to PC Team	Population- based Approach	Direct Access to Evidence- based Treatments	Enables pt's placement in proper LOC					
SBIRT	(multiple)	Multiple	+	+/-	+	+	+/-	+					
Chronic Care	ACM (Oslin et al, 2013)	AUD	++	++	++	++	++	++					
Mangmnt	AHEAD (Saitz et al, 2013)	ASOUDs	++	++	+++	++	++	++					
"Collaborative	SUMMIT (Watkins et al, 2017)	AOUDs	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++					
Care"	"Mass. Model" (Alford, 2011; LaBelle, 2016)	OUD	++	++	++	++	++	++					
Vermont's Hub & Spoke	(VT Dept Health)	OUD	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++					
ECHO	(Komaromy, 2016)	Multiple	++ (*)	+/- (*)	+++	++ (*)	+++ (*)	++ (*)					

* Indirect effect

Scale: +++ highest level



COLLABORATIVE CARE



COLLABORATIVE CARE: THE RESEARCH EVIDENCE

- Now over 80 Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)
 - Meta analysis of collaborative care (CC) for depression in primary care (US and Europe)
 - → Consistently more effective than usual care
 - Better faster
 - Stay better longer

Why is this approach so consistently effective?





COLLABORATIVE CARE PRINCIPLES



Principles icons used with permission from University of Washington AIMS Center, 2016.

INTEGRATED CARE PRINCIPLES IN ADDICTION TREATMENT

- Works individually in therapy and med support.
- Use of Registry. Helps identify trends. Avoid losing track of patients.
- Attendance/retention, drug screens, patient goals, brief addiction monitor.
- Evidence based therapy and MAT.

How are things going? System QI.

Principles icons used with permission from University of Washington AIMS Center, 2016.

MEASUREMENT BASED CARE

Why?

- Help Improve Patient Outcomes
 - Clinical judgement alone is not always enough
 - Enhanced precision and effectiveness
 - Help overcome clinical inertia
 - Provide providers monitor clinical effectiveness
 - Allow systems the ability to monitor effectiveness
 - Help streamline assessments

MEASUREMENT BASED CARE DO'S

- Symptom severity should be assessed frequently
- Concurrently with the clinical encounter
- Be able to compare current with passes scores

Current Possibilities

- Retention in Treatment
- Patient Goals
- Brief Addiction Monitor
- Urine Drug Screens
- OBOT Stability Index-???

BRIEF ADDICTION MONITOR

- 17 item measure of addiction problem severity (5 min)
- Can track past 7 or 30 days
- Assesses

Substance Use	Risk Factors	Protective Factors
 Any alcohol use Heavy alcohol use Drug use 	 Craving Sleep prob Poor mood Risky situations Family/social problems Physical health 	 Self-efficacy Self-help Spirituality Work/school Income Social supports

BAM study results

- all 3 parts were sensitive to change
- excellent test/restest reliability
- Recovery protection and substance use and risk had predictive validity

Cacciola J et al, 2013

Brief Addiction Monitor (BAM)

Participant ID: _____ Date: _____ Interviewer ID (Clinician Initials): _____ Instructions: This is a standard set of questions about several areas of your life such as your health, alcohol and drug use, etc. The questions generally ask about the past 30 days. Please consider each question and answer as accurately as possible.

Method of Administration:

Clinician Interview
 Self Report

Phone

- 1. In the past 30 days, how would you say your physical health has been?
 - Excellent (0)
 - Very Good (8)
 - O Good (15)
 - O Fair (22)
 - Poor (30)

_ _

- 2. In the past 30 days, how many nights did you have trouble falling asleep or staying asleep?
- 3. In the past 30 days, how many days have you felt depressed, anxious, angry or very upset throughout most of the day?
- 4. In the past 30 days, how many days did you drink ANY alcohol?

____ (If 00, Skip to #6)

- 5. In the past 30 days, how many days did you have at least 5 drinks (if you are a man) or at least 4 drinks (if you are a woman)? [One drink is considered one shot of hard liquor (1.5 oz.) or 12-ounce can/bottle of beer or 5-ounce glass of wine.]
- 6. In the past 30 days, how many days did you use any illegal or street drugs or abuse any prescription medications?

____ (If 00, Skip to #8)

- 7. In the past 30 days, how many days did you use any of the following drugs:
 - 7A. Marijuana (cannabis, pot, weed)?
- 7B. Sedatives and/or Tranquilizers (benzos, Valium, Xanax, Ativan, Ambien, barbs, Phenobarbital, downers, etc.)?
- 7C. Cocaine and/or Crack?
- 7D. Other Stimulants (amphetamine, methamphetamine, Dexedrine, Ritalin, Adderall, speed, crystal meth, ice, etc.)?
- 7E. Opiates (Heroin, Morphine, Dilaudid, Demerol, Oxycontin, oxy, codeine (Tylenol 2,3,4), Percocet, Vicodin, Fentanyl, etc.)?
- 7F. Inhalants (glues, adhesives, nail polish remover, paint thinner, etc.)?
- 7G. Other drugs (steroids, non-prescription sleep and diet pills, Benadryl, Ephedra, other over-the-counter or unknown medications)?

SUBSTANCE LAB MONITORING: OPIOIDS

- Urine
- If stable on Buprenorphine, < monthly is reasonable
- Consider regular checking for Buprenorphine Metabolite-Norbuprenorphine to help identify diversion
- Norbup:Bup ratio 0.02 (spec, no sens)
- Bup >700 (spec and sens)

NEW: ASAM Drug Testing Guideline

SUBSTANCE LAB MONITORING: ALCOHOL

Marker	Time to return to normal limits	Type of drinking characterized	Comments
Gamma– glutamyltransferase	2–6 weeks of abstinence	~ 70 drinks/wk for several weeks	Many sources of false positives
Aspartate aminotransferase	7 days, but considerable variability in declines with abstinence	Unknown, but heavy	Many sources of false positives
Alanine aminotransferase	Unknown	Unknown, but heavy	Many sources of false positives Less sensitive than aspartate aminotransferase
Macrocytic volume	Unknown but half–life \sim 40 days	Unknown, but heavy	Slow return to normal limits even with abstinence
Carbohydrate– deficient transferrin	2–4 weeks of abstinence	60+ g/d for at least 2 weeks	Rare false positives Good indicator of relapse

Table 1.—Characteristics of traditional markers

• All are blood samples except for Carb-def transferrin

Bottom-line: modestly helpful

https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/AssessingAlcohol/biomarkers.htm

OBOT Stability Index

1)	Was the patient's previous urine drug screen	positive for illicit substances?
	🗌 No	Yes
2)	If YES to #1 or if the patient was recently s patient have fewer than four consecutive we	tarted on buprenorphine, does the ekly drug-free urine drug screens?
	🗌 No	Yes
3)	Is the patient using sedative-hypnotic drugs alcohol use?	(e.g. benzodiazepines) or admitting to
	□ No	Yes
4)	Does the patient report drug craving that is o	difficult to control?
	🗌 No	🗌 Yes
5)	Does the patient endorse having used illicit s	substances in the past month?
	🗌 No	🗌 Yes
6)	Does the query of the Vermont Prescription evidence of the unexplained, unadmitted, or controlled substances?	Monitoring System (VPMS) show otherwise concerning provision of
	No No	Yes
7)	Did the patient report their last prescription	as being lost or stolen?
	🗌 No	Yes
8)	Did the patient run out of medication early f	from his/ her last prescription?
	🗌 No	🗌 Yes
TOTA	LS: No	Yes

SCORING:

If NO to all, the patient is "stable" can be seen monthly for prescriptions and urine drug screens.

If **YES** to any of the above, the patient is "unstable" and needs to be seen weekly for prescriptions and urine drug screens.

Additionally, if YES to 1-6, the patient should be referred for addiction services.

Population Based Care

Registry Requirements

Tracks progress at	Tracks population-	Facilitates efficient	Prompts treatment
individual level and	based care	systematic case	to target
at caseload level		review	

				Treatment 9	status			PHO	Q-9		GAD-7					
			Indicates that the	most recent contact v	vas over 2 month	s (60 days) ago	 Indicates that the last available PHQ-9 score is at target (less than 5 or 50% decrease from initial score) Indicates that the last available PHQ-9 score is more than 30 days old 					the last available GA se from initial score the last available GA	Psychia	atric Consultation		
View	Treatment	Name	Date of Initial	Date of Most	Number of	Weeks in	Initial PHQ-9	Last Available	% Change in	Date of Last	Initial GAD-7	Last Available	% Change in	Date of Last	Flag	Most Recent
Record	Status		Assessment	Recent Contact	Follow-up	Treatment	Score	PHQ-9 Score	PHQ-9 Score	PHQ-9 Score	Score	GAD-7 Score	GAD-7 Score	GAD-7 Score		Psychiatric
v	Τ,	v		v	Contacts -	v	•	4	v	v	•	v	~	· ·	*	Consultant Note 🗸
<u>View</u>	Active	Susan Test	9/5/2015	2/23/2016	10	26	22	14	-36%	2/23/2016	18	17	-6%	1/23/2016	Flag for discussion & safety risk	1/27/2016
<u>View</u>	Active	Albert Smith	8/13/2015	12/2/2015	7	29	18	17	-6%	12/2/2015	14	10	-29%	12/2/2015	Flag for discussion	
<u>View</u>	Active	Joe Smith	11/30/2015	2/28/2016	6	14	14	10	-29%	2/28/2016	10	🖋 6	-40%	2/28/2016	Flag for discussion	2/26/2016
<u>View</u>	Active	Bob Dolittle	1/5/2016	3/1/2016	3	9	21	19	-10%	3/1/2016	12	10	-17%	3/1/2016	Flag as safety risk	2/18/2016
<u>View</u>	Active	Nancy Fake	2/4/2016	2/4/2016	0	4		No Score				No Score				
<u>View</u>	RP	John Doe	9/15/2015	3/6/2016	10	25	20	🖌 2	-90%	3/6/2016	14	🖌 3	-79%	3/6/2016		2/20/2016

ADDICTION REGISTRY

Name	Treatmen	t Status			Urine Drug S	creens	Brief Addiction Monitor			ΜΑΤ	Last PMP accessed	Addiction Consult			
	Initial Assess ment	Most Recent	# Sessions	Weeks in Tx	First	Last	First	First Last		Med and dose					
							Use	Risk	Protection	Use	Risk	Protection			
Joe	8/25/17	9/21/17	2	4	Opioids, THC, Cocaine	тнс	75	172	8	17	39	124	Bup-Nal 16mg	9/21/17	9/1/2017
Sally	6/21/17	8/1/17	3	5	Alcohol, THC	None	50	126	29	45	90	29	Naltrexone 50mg	8/21/17	8/3/2017

- The Registry
- Patients individually and the population as a whole
- Start to see trends
 - What is my retention rate as a clinic
 - Is my clinic doing as well as other clinics
 - Are people getting regular follow-up
 - Are people as a whole getting better
- Plan→Do→Study→Act

Retention, Retention, Retention

- How?
- Use Evidence Based Treatment
 - MAT
 - Psychosocial Treatment
- Team based-provider support
- Population based

OPIOIDS: NARCAN

- Patient at risk themselves to overdose or witnessing an overdose
- Dose dependent trend
- Increased odds of recovery
 Odds Ratio 8.58

Best Practice: Recommend/Prescribe all OUD patients, including in those in treatment, Narcan

Dowell, D et al CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain, 2016 Walley, A, et al, 2013; Gigligo, Barret, al, 2015

OPIOIDS: BUPRENORPHINE DOSE AND STABILITY

The START Trial: N=1,267

- > 16mg
 - Less illicit use
 - Increased retention in treatment
 - Hazard Ratio 3.09 for drop out at < 16mg of Buprenorephine
- 25% of Bup patients dropped out before 1 mo
 - Early regular engagement/monitoring needed

Best Practice: Buprenorphine dosages ≥ 16mg, early engagement is key

Comer S, et al, 2001; Fareed A, et al, 2012, Hser Y, et al, 2014

24MG...32MG...WHAT?

ALL OF THOSE PATIENTS ARE JUST DIVERTING, RIGHT?

Bottom-line: There is no clear evidence that this occurs with all or even most patients. Treat the patient first.

OPIOIDS: ONGOING TREATMENT AND STABILITY

Relapse rates off Buprenorphine: <u>50-90%</u>
 Maintenance treatment should be continued

 Caution: Patient vs Provider view of treatment success -> can lead to premature termination

- Patient's want to remain in treatment

OPIOIDS: BUPRENORPHINE VS IM NALTREXONE

	Buprenorphine	IM Naltrexone
Number of studies	31 RCTS	1 RCT (Alkermes funded)
Head to Head Trials	Compared to Methadone	None
Retention Rates	43% dropped out	47% dropped out
No Illicit Opioid Use	64.7% of weeks in study	90% vs 35% of weeks in study

Best Practice: Buprenorphine is 1st Line treatment and should make up the majority of your treatment.

Krupitsky, E. et al, 2011; Soeffling, J. et al 2009

OPIOIDS: PRESCRIBING OPIOIDS AND BENZODIAZEPINES IN PATIENTS WITH OUDS

- Sample
 - All Veterans (N=32,422) in 2007 with an Opioid
 Use Disorder Diagnosis

Opioid/Benzo Rx Status	12 month Mortality Rate	24 month Mortality Rate
Prescribed	4.3%	8.3%
Not Prescribed	3.1%	6.2%
% Change	29%	27%

Best Practice: Avoid Prescribing Opioids and Benzodiazepines to Patients with Opioid Use Disorders

Watkins, K, et al, 2017

OPIOIDS: CONCURRENT SUBSTANCE USE

Concurrent substance use can be destabilizing
 — Clearly established: Cocaine & Opioids

- Mixed evidence on impact
- Monitor for use ≥ <u>near</u> <u>daily use</u>
- Use CUDIT-R to screen for use disorder

Alcohol

- Monitor for risky use
- Use AUDIT-C or full AUDIT to assess for use disorder

- 1st Line
 - Oral Naltrexone (great for Harm Reduction)
 - NNT to prevent return to any drinking: 20
 - NNT to prevent return to heavy drinking: 12
 - Acamprosate
 - NNT to prevent return to any drinking: 12
- Disulfiram: works great in monitored setting
- Vivitrol: works well for reduction of heavy drinking

Best Practice: Use the medications

Jonas D., et al 2014

PSYCHOSOCIAL TREATMENT

Opioids: evidence is mixed on benefit

- VA does not provide recommendation for it
 - But: psychosocial treatment linked to reduced mortality within VA patients with OUD
 - Referral required
 - Most treatment approaches employ it

Best Practice: Not necessary in all patients, but patient preference should be considered. Expert opinion would recommend in unstable pts, but I would not withhold Bup if a patient refused

VA SUD Treatment Guidelines; Watkins K. et al, 2017

Alcohol: strong effect

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
- Motivational Enhancement
- 12-step facilitation

Best Practice: Patients should be referred or offerd some form of therapy

VA SUD Treatment Guidelines

ALCOHOL: HARM REDUCTION COUNSELING

- 3 month RCT, N=165, Homeless
- <u>Intervention</u>: personalized feedback, elicitation of harm reduction goals, discussion of safer drinking strategies

• <u>Results</u>

- 75% completed study
- 92% positive treatment experience
- 73% reduction in peak alcohol quantity
- 68% reduction in alcohol-related problems

Collins, S et al, pending submission

SUMMARY

• It is good to know the evidence to help set quality standards for your treatment approach

 Population health (registries) and Measurement based care in the setting of EBM will help your patients and practice succeed.

